

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/554,207	10/24/2005	Axel Huegle	2003P02028WOUS	4522
28204 7590 04/27/2007 SIEMENS SCHWEIZ AG			EXAMINER	
I-47, INTELLI	ECTUAL PROPERTY		STEVENOSKY, MARK J	
ALBISRIEDERSTRASSE 245			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ZURICH, CH- SWITZERLAN			2853	
	_			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	04/27/2007	PAP	ER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			T			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/554,207	HUEGLE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mark John Stevenosky, Jr.	2853			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 October 2005</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	<del>-</del> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims	•				
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 14-21 is/are pending in the application	١.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>14-17,20 and 21</u> is/are rejected.					
·	☑ Claim(s) <u>18 and 19</u> is/are objected to.					
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	•			
Applicat	ion Papers					
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 October 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
·		o,o coca copo				
Attachmer	nt(s)					
1) 🛛 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)			
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/13/2006</u> .	Paper No(s)/Mail I  5) Notice of Informal  6) Other:				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. Claims 14-17,20,21 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Huegle (US 2004/0021763).

Regarding claim 14, Huegle discloses:

- a basic housing body [housing 2]
- a support arranged to be moved at least partly out of the housing [support bridge 41], the support having at least one guide [0027] having:
- at least two first guide elements arranged on and fastened to the support on two opposite sides [Figure 3, 0027]
- two second guide elements corresponding to the first guide elements on the support, arranged on both sides of the support such that the support is guided by means of the guide during a movement in or against an insertion direction [0027-0030]
- wherein the second guide elements are fastened to a central base unit which substantially overlaps the support, at least periodically, in that the first guide elements and the central bsed support are braced against one another to the basic housing body by means of fastening elements [0027-0030]

Regarding claim 15, Huegle discloses a printer and media unit [0025].

Art Unit: 2853

Regarding claim 16, Huegle discloses a printer unit fastened to the base support [0025,0026].

Regarding claim 17, Huegle discloses the support arranged partially in the matter of a drawer between the first guide elements. [0027-0030]

Regarding claim 20, Huegle discloses a media unit comprises a receptacle for a paper roll and a feed unit [0025]

Regarding claim 21, Huegle discloses a support to be moved relative to the printing unit and in the insertion direction into an operating position and counter to the insertion direction out of an operating position [Figure 3, 0028]

## Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 18-19 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US 4644368 A, US 6414704 B1, US 6392677 B1, US 6437815 B1, US 20060209157 A1, US 6834950 B2.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark John Stevenosky, Jr. whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/554,207

Art Unit: 2853

(571) 270-1336. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark-John Stevenosky, Jr.

Page 4

Examiner

Art Unit 2853

4/23/ 2007

MANISH S. SHAH PRIMARY EXAMINER